

Adaptation to sea level rise: where to protect and when to start?

May 22nd, 2016



Presented by Sally Brown (University of Southampton, UK) and Daniel Lincke (Global Climate Forum, Germany) at the Adaptation Futures Conference in Rotterdam, The Netherlands, May 2016

Sea level rise calls for strengthening sbod protection of low-lying areas all over the world. The vulnerability to sboding varies from one country to another. Some countries are already highly vulnerable as they are low-lying and have limited adaptive capacity (the ability to protect one's self which may be limited by financial or institutional means) and ideally need to reduce risk levels as soon as possible. Others can afford to wait a while and see how sea level rise turns out in the coming decades. There are also parts of the world's coastline where it is too expensive to take measures compared with the low number of people and value of assets to be protected. How do we know where sbod protection needs to be improved, and when to start doing it? Sally Brown (University of Southampton, UK) and Daniel Lincke (Global Climate Forum, Germany) tackled these questions and presented the results of their studies on the Adaptation Futures Conference.

Where to protect?

Daniel tackled the question where sbod protection should be increased or maintained. He did so by looking at different scenarios of sea level rise and socio-economic development, calculating both the costs of adaptation and the damage costs of sbods, and determining the level of optimal protection in the sense that the total costs, sbod damages and protection costs, are minimized. His conclusion: investing in sbod protection is not a good idea for 70% of the world's coastline as large parts of the world's coastal zone are sparsely populated. This is especially true for northern coasts (Canada, Russia). For 12% of the world's coastline strengthening or at least maintaining sbod protection is recommended (such as for cities where there are large concentrations of people and investment), for the other 18% results are not clear. 94% of Australia's coastline, for instance, can be left without (further) protection; for 1.2% of its coastline, covering the big urban regions around Sydney, Melbourne, Brisbane and Perth, strengthening sbod protection is strongly recommended.

The answer to the question where shod protection needs to be improved is more or less the same for different sea level rise and socio-economic scenarios. The extent of the potential impacts of coastal shoding and the cost-effective investments in shod defences differ, however, from one scenario to another.

When to start?

Sally analysed the financial costs of sea level rise, and considered the timing of global protection costs throughout the 21st century, as to ascertain when it is best to adapt. She did this for high-end scenarios of sea level rise from 1 to 2 metres and a number of scenarios for socio-economic development. Without further adaptation coastal sbods may result in annual global damage costs of US\$20 to US\$124 billion for 1 and 2 metre sea level rise in 2100, respectively (across a range of socio-economic scenarios). By further improving sbod protection these costs can be strongly reduced. Thus, adaptation of densely populated coastal zones is a cost-effective long-term investment. For lower income countries, the optimum financial decision is to invest in sbod protection now to avoid paying for the damage later.

Sally's results are based on a high-end scenario of sea level rise between now and 2100. If we knew this scenario would unfold, the time to act is now for most low-lying coastal regions. But of course we don't know how fast sea level will rise. Furthermore, we don't know how societies and their economies will develop. Therefore we need to be sexible in the measures we take: don't invest too much in the beginning but be sure that you can take more measures and further improve shod protection if sea level rises faster than previously thought. Sally said: 'maybe you don't need to act now, but you need to start thinking and making plans now.'

In many densely populated deltas sood protection investments are needed not only because of sea level rise, but due to land subsidence as well. In fact, sometimes land subsides at a much higher rate than sea level rises, as seen in Jakarta and Bangkok, mostly due to the withdrawal of groundwater. Also in these cases choices can be made: one can pay for the

consequences of groundwater withdrawal, or one can invest in other means of fresh water supply and stop the withdrawal of groundwater, and by that subsidence. Needless to say the latter is more sustainable.

Daniel and Sally stress that their studies are global assessments; for policy decisions in a certain country more detailed studies are needed.

Photo: Chris Bentley (www.stckr.com)